

Lecture 32 Twisted complexes and triangulated A_∞ -categories.

We need a concept from A_∞ -category theory, which we could have introduced back when we were doing triangulated categories. It answers the question, how does one form the triangulated envelope of an A_∞ -category?

Let A be an A_∞ -category.

Additive enlargement: This is a category A^\oplus whose objects are formal sums

$$X = \bigoplus_{i \in I} F^i \otimes X^i[-\sigma^i]$$

where I is a finite set, $X^i \in \text{Ob } A$, F^i vector spaces, and $\sigma^i \in \mathbb{Z}$ are formal grading shifts. The morphism spaces are

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{A^\oplus}^P \left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} F^i \otimes X^i[-\sigma^i], \bigoplus_{j \in J} F^j \otimes X^j[-\sigma^j] \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{i, j} \text{Hom}_{A^\oplus}^{P + \sigma^i - \sigma^j} (X_i, X_j) \otimes \text{Hom}(F^i, F^j) \end{aligned}$$

The operators $\mu_{A^\oplus}^d$ combine μ_A^d with "matrix multiplication" and composition of linear maps.

Twisted complexes: A twisted complex is a pair (X, δ_X) where $X \in \text{Ob } A^\oplus$ and $\delta_X \in \text{Hom}_{A^\oplus}^1(X, X)$

satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equation

$$0 = \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \mu_{A^\oplus}^d(\delta_X^{\odot d}) = \mu_{A^\oplus}^1(\delta_X) + \mu_{A^\oplus}^2(\delta_X, \delta_X) + \mu_{A^\oplus}^3(\delta_X, \delta_X, \delta_X) + \dots$$

To guarantee convergence, we require δ_X is strictly decreasing w.r.t. a filtration.

These are the objects of A^{TW} . The composition in A^{TW} uses that of A^\oplus , but we "insert δ_x in all possible ways".

$$\mu_{A^{TW}}^d(a_d, \dots, a_1) = \sum_{i_0, \dots, i_d} \mu_{A^\oplus}^{d+i_0+\dots+i_d}(\delta_{x_d}^{\otimes i_d}, a_d, \delta_{x_{d-1}}^{\otimes i_{d-1}}, \dots, a_1, \delta_{x_0}^{\otimes i_0})$$

Eg. $\mu_{A^{TW}}^1(a) = \mu_{A^\oplus}^1(a) + \mu_{A^\oplus}^2(\delta_{x_1}, a) + \mu_{A^\oplus}^2(a, \delta_{x_0}) + \mu_{A^\oplus}^3(\delta_{x_1}, a, \delta_{x_0}) + \dots$

The importance is that A^{TW} has mapping cones:

let (Y_0, δ_{Y_0}) and (Y_1, δ_{Y_1}) be two twisted complexes, and let $c \in \text{hom}_{A^{TW}}^0(Y_0, Y_1)$ be a degree zero cocycle, $\mu_{A^{TW}}^1(c) = 0$.

Then $C = \text{Cone}(c)$ is defined to be

$$\left(C = Y_0[1] \oplus Y_1, \delta_C = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{Y_0} & 0 \\ -c & \delta_{Y_1} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

As expected, cones correspond to exact triangles. An interesting feature of the A_∞-context is that we can define exact triangles without reference to cones.

Let \mathcal{D} be the A_∞-category with objects z_0, z_1, z_2 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_{z_0} \circlearrowleft z_0 & \xrightarrow{x_1} & z_1 \circlearrowright e_{z_1} \\ & \swarrow x_3 & \searrow x_2 \\ & & z_2 \circlearrowright e_{z_2} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} |x_1| = |x_2| = 0 \\ |x_3| = 1 \end{array}$$

Where the only non trivial compositions are

$$\mu_D^3(x_3, x_2, x_1) = e_{z_0}$$

$$\mu_D^3(x_1, x_3, x_2) = e_{z_1}$$

$$\mu_D^3(x_2, x_1, x_3) = e_{z_2}$$

Let \mathcal{A} be an A_{∞} -category, $H(\mathcal{A})$ the cohomology category.
An exact triangle is a diagram in $H(\mathcal{A})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_0 & \xrightarrow{[c_1]} & Y_1 \\ & \uparrow [c_3] & \swarrow [c_2] \\ & Y_2 & \end{array}$$

Such that there is a functor $F: D \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that
 $F(z_i) = Y_i$ $[F(x_i)] = [c_i]$.

\mathcal{A} is called triangulated if it has a shift functor and every $[c_1]$ in $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ can be completed to an exact triangle.

Proposition If \mathcal{A} is a triangulated A_{∞} -category, its homotopy category $H^0(\mathcal{A})$ is triangulated in the original sense.
If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are triangulated A_{∞} -categories and $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is an A_{∞} -functor, $H^0(F): H^0(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{B})$ is an exact functor.

Proposition A^{TW} is a triangulated A_{∞} -category with an embedding $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow A^{TW}$, and A^{TW} is initial with respect to these properties.