

## Divisors and Maps to $\mathbb{P}^n$

Def A map  $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is holomorphic at  $p \in X$  if there exist holomorphic functions  $g_0, g_1, \dots, g_n$  defined in some open set  $U \subset X$ ,  $p \in U$ , which do not all vanish simultaneously, such that

$$\phi(x) = [g_0(x) : g_1(x) : \dots : g_n(x)] \text{ for } x \in U.$$

$\phi$  is holomorphic if it is holomorphic at every point.

The functions  $g_i(x)$  are not determined by the map  $\phi$ , because of the scaling ambiguity of homogeneous coords.

if  $f(x)$  is a holomorphic function in  $U$ , non-vanishing

$$[f(x)g_0(x) : \dots : f(x)g_n(x)] = [g_0(x) : \dots : g_n(x)]$$

We can also define maps using meromorphic functions

Choose  $n+1$  meromorphic fns  $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{M}(X)^{n+1}$   
not all identically zero.

Let's try to define  $\phi_f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$

$$\phi_f(x) \rightarrow [f_0(x) : \dots : f_n(x)]$$

This obviously is ok a  $p \in X$  if  $\begin{cases} p \text{ is not a pole of any } f_i \text{ and} \\ p \text{ is not a zero of all } f_i \end{cases}$

Lemma:

In fact, this map can be extended uniquely to all of  $X$ .

Proof Fix  $p \in X$ . Let  $m = \min \{ \text{ord}_p(f_i) \}$

If  $m = 0$ : All  $f_i$  are holomorphic at  $p$ , and at least one is non-zero  $\Rightarrow$  OK

If  $m > 0$ : All  $f_i$  are holomorphic at  $p$ , but they all vanish.

If  $m < 0$ : Some  $f_i$  has a pole at  $p$ .

Let  $z$  be a local coordinate at  $p \in X$

In either case, modify  $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$  to  $z^{-m}f = (z^{-m}f_0, \dots, z^{-m}f_n)$

Then  $\min \{ \text{ord}_p(z^{-m}f_i) \} = m - m = 0$ ,

so all  $z^{-m}f_i$  are holomorphic at  $p \Leftrightarrow (z=0)$   
and at least one is non-zero.

On the other hand

$\phi_f(z) = [f_0(z) : \dots : f_n(z)] = [z^{-m}f_0(z) : \dots : z^{-m}f_n(z)] = \phi_{z^{-m}f}$   
at all points where both sides make sense  
and the right hand side makes sense at  $p$ !

So we define  $\phi_f$  to be  $\phi_{z^{-m}f}$  near  $p$ .

Proposition: Let  $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a holomorphic map. Then there is an  $(n+1)$  tuple  $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{M}(X)^{n+1}$  such that

$\phi(x) = \phi_f(x) = [f_0(x) : \dots : f_n(x)]$  as in the lemma.

Two  $(n+1)$  tuples  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}(X)^{n+1}$  define the same map if and only if there is  $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}^*(X)$  such that

$$f = \lambda g \quad (f_i = \lambda g_i, i=0, \dots, n)$$

Proof: For existence let  $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a holomorphic map. After reordering coordinates, we may assume that  $x_0$  does not vanish identically on  $X$ .

$$\text{Set } f_i = \frac{x_i}{x_0}, i=0, \dots, n \quad (\text{so } f_0 = 1)$$

then  $f_i$  is a meromorphic function on  $X$  and  $\forall p \in X$

$$\phi(p) = [x_0 : \dots : x_n] = \left[ 1 : \frac{x_1}{x_0} : \dots : \frac{x_n}{x_0} \right] = [f_0 : \dots : f_n]$$

||  
 $\phi_f$

the other parts are straight forward  $\square$

Corollary:  $\{\text{maps } X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n\} \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{1-dimensional } \mathcal{M}(X)\text{-linear subspaces} \\ \text{of the } \mathcal{M}(X)\text{-vector space } \mathcal{M}(X)^{n+1} \end{array} \right\}$

||  
 $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{M}(X)}^n$  proj space over the field  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ .

Holo. maps  $\rightarrow$  linear systems. let  $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  be a holomap.

write  $\phi = \phi_f$  for some tuple  $(f_0, \dots, f_n) \in \mathcal{M}(X)^{n+1}$

Set  $D = -\min \{ \text{div}(f_i) \}$ , i.e.  $D(\phi) = -\min \{ \text{ord}_p(f_i) \}$

Then  $\text{div}(f_i) + D \geq 0$  by construction, so  $f_i \in L(D) \forall i$

Let  $V_f = \text{span}(f_0, \dots, f_n) \subseteq L(D)$  (this may be a proper subspace)

Now set  $|\phi| = \{ \text{div}(g) + D \mid g \in V_f \}$

Every divisor in  $|\phi|$  is nonnegative and linearly equivalent to  $D$ , so

$|\phi| \subseteq |D| \leftarrow$  the complete linear system assoc. to  $D$ .

(under this is  $|D| = \mathbb{P}(L(D))$ ,  $|\phi| = \mathbb{P}(V_f) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(L(D))$ )

Lemma  $|\phi| \subseteq \text{Div}(X)$  is well-defined, independent of the tuple  $f = (f_0, \dots, f_n)$  used in the construction.

Proof: suppose  $g$  and  $f$  define the same map  $\phi$ . then  $g = \lambda f$  for some  $\lambda \in H^0(X)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} D_g &= -\min \{ \text{div}(g_i) \} = -\min \{ \text{div}(\lambda f_i) \} = -\min \{ \text{div}(\lambda) + \text{div}(f_i) \} \\ &= -\text{div}(\lambda) - \min \{ \text{div}(f_i) \} = -\text{div}(\lambda) + D_f \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $D_g \sim D_f$  and so  $|D_g| = |D_f|$

Now  $Q \in |\phi_g| \Leftrightarrow Q = \text{div}(\sum a_i g_i) + D_g =$

$$= \text{div}(\sum a_i \lambda f_i) + D_g = \text{div}(\lambda) + \text{div}(\sum a_i f_i) + D_g$$

$$= \text{div}(\sum a_i f_i) + \underbrace{\text{div}(\lambda) + D_g}_{D_f} = \text{div}(\sum a_i f_i) + D_f$$

$\Leftrightarrow Q \in |\phi_f|$ .