

## Complex vector bundles; Chern classes, Pontryagin classes

We have so far only considered real vector bundles. The basic definitions and the local theory works analogously for complex vector bundles.

Def A **complex vector bundle**  $\pi: E \rightarrow M$  of rank  $r$  is a smooth manifold  $E$  and a smooth map  $\pi: E \rightarrow M$  such that each fiber  $E_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$  is a complex vector space, and there are local trivializations

$$\forall x \in M \exists U \ni x \text{ open } \exists \phi: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{C}^r \text{ s.t.}$$

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \phi: \pi^{-1}(U) & \rightarrow & U \times \mathbb{C}^r \\ \pi \downarrow & \text{id} & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ U & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U \end{array} \quad \text{commutes}$$

$$(2) \quad \phi|_{\pi^{-1}(x)}: E_x \rightarrow \{x\} \times \mathbb{C}^r$$

is an isomorphism of complex vector spaces.

- Transition functions are maps  $\psi_{12}: U_1 \cap U_2 \rightarrow GL(r, \mathbb{C})$
- Sections  $\Gamma(E)$  is a complex vector space, and a module over complex-valued functions  $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{C})$
- connections are required to be  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear:  $\nabla(as) = a\nabla(s)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}$
- local frame  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^r$  is a collection of sections that forms a  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis of each fiber.
- w.r.t. a frame, the connection has the form  $\nabla = d + A$  for a 1-form with values in  $r \times r$  complex matrices,  $F$  is similarly a 2-form with values in  $r \times r$  complex matrices.
- More invariantly  $F_\nabla$  is a section of  $\wedge^2 T^*M \otimes \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E)$
- Multilinear algebra is done over  $\mathbb{C}$ :  $E^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E, \mathbb{C})$   
 $\text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(E) = E^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E$       $\text{tr}: E^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , etc.

Now let  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  be the ground field, we consider  $K$ -vector bundles and  $K$ -linear connections.

$$\left[ E^\vee = \text{Hom}_K(E, K), \quad \text{End}(E) = \text{End}_K(E), \quad \otimes = \otimes_K, \text{ etc.} \right]$$

Recall:  $\nabla$  on  $E$  induces connections on  $E^\vee$ ,  $\text{End}(E)$ , etc, which are compatible with contractions and satisfy Leibniz rule w.r.t.  $\otimes$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^p(M, E) &= \Gamma(\wedge^p T^*M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} E) & d_\nabla: \Omega^p(M, E) &\rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(M, E) \\ \Omega^p(M, \text{End}(E)) &\text{ has } d_\nabla = d_\nabla \text{End}(E) \\ F_\nabla \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}(E)) &\text{ satisfies } d_\nabla F_\nabla = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that  $\Omega^*(M, \text{End}(E))$  is actually a ring

Consider  $\omega \otimes A$  and  $\eta \otimes B$ ,  $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^*(M)$   $A, B \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$

Product  $(\omega \otimes A)(\eta \otimes B) = (\omega \wedge \eta) \otimes (A \circ B)$

lemma:  $d_\nabla$  is a derivation of this product.

Proof For 0-forms this follows from compatibility with contractions

$$\circ: \text{End}(E) \otimes \text{End}(E) \rightarrow \text{End}(E) \quad \text{corresponds to}$$

$$C: E^\vee \otimes E \otimes E^\vee \otimes E \rightarrow E^\vee \otimes E$$

$\swarrow$   
contract these

So for  $A, B \in \Gamma(\text{End}(E))$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(A \cdot B) &= \nabla(C(A \otimes B)) = C \nabla(A \otimes B) = C(\nabla A \otimes B + A \otimes \nabla B) \\ &= (\nabla A) \circ B + A \circ (\nabla B) \end{aligned}$$

$$d_\nabla(\omega \wedge \eta \otimes AB) = d(\omega \wedge \eta) \otimes AB + (-1)^{p+q} (\omega \wedge \eta) \wedge \nabla AB$$

$$= (d\omega \wedge \eta + (-1)^p \omega \wedge d\eta) \otimes AB + (-1)^{p+q} (\omega \wedge \eta) \wedge ((\nabla A)B + A \nabla B)$$

$$= (d\omega \otimes A)(\eta \otimes B) + (-1)^p (\omega \otimes A)(d\eta \otimes B)$$

$$+ (-1)^p (\omega \wedge \nabla A)(\eta \otimes B) + (-1)^{p+q} (\omega \otimes A)(\eta \wedge \nabla B)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (d\omega \otimes A + (-1)^p \omega \wedge \nabla A)(\eta \otimes B) + (-1)^p (\omega \otimes A)(d\eta \otimes B + (-1)^q (\eta \wedge \nabla B)) \\
&= d_{\nabla}(\omega \otimes A) \cdot (\eta \otimes B) + (-1)^p (\omega \otimes A) d_{\nabla}(\eta \otimes B) \quad \checkmark
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can consider expressions like  $F_{\nabla}^k = \underbrace{F_{\nabla} \cdot F_{\nabla} \cdot \dots \cdot F_{\nabla}}_k \in \Omega^{2k}(M, \text{End}(E))$

Corollary of Bianchi identity:  $d_{\nabla}(F_{\nabla}^k) = 0$

Proof:  $d_{\nabla}(F_{\nabla}^k) = (d_{\nabla} F_{\nabla}) \cdot F_{\nabla}^{k-1} + F_{\nabla} d_{\nabla}(F_{\nabla}^{k-1})$

Use  $d_{\nabla} F_{\nabla} = 0$  and induction on  $k$ .

Corollary:  $\text{tr}(F_{\nabla}^k) \in \Omega^{2k}(M, \mathbb{K})$  is closed.

We saw before that  $d \text{tr} = \text{tr} d_{\nabla}$ , so

$$d \text{tr}(F_{\nabla}^k) = \text{tr}(d_{\nabla}(F_{\nabla}^k)) = 0.$$

We also want to show that  $[\text{tr}(F_{\nabla}^k)] \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{K})$  does not depend on the choice of connection.

Lemma: Let  $\alpha_t \in \Omega^p(M)$   $t \in [0, 1]$  be a smooth path of closed forms. Suppose there is a smooth path  $\beta_t \in \Omega^{p-1}(M)$   $t \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_t}{\partial t} = d(\beta_t) \quad (\text{The time derivative is exact.})$$

Then  $\alpha_1 - \alpha_0 = d \int_0^1 \beta_t dt$ , and hence  $[\alpha_1] = [\alpha_0] \in H^p(M)$

Now let  $\nabla_t$   $t \in [0, 1]$  be a smooth family of connections

NB: Any two connections  $\nabla_0$  and  $\nabla_1$  can be connected by a path of the form  $\nabla_t = \nabla_0 + ta$  where  $a = \nabla_1 - \nabla_0 \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(E))$

$$\text{Let } a_t = \frac{\partial \nabla_t}{\partial t} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nabla_{t+h} - \nabla_t}{h} \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(E))$$

Let  $F_{\nabla_t} = (d_{\nabla_t})^2$  be the curvature.

$$\text{Now we need } \frac{\partial F_{\nabla_t}}{\partial t} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_{\nabla_{t+h}} - F_{\nabla_t}}{h}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\nabla_{t+h}} - F_{\nabla_t} &= d_{\nabla_t}(\nabla_{t+h} - \nabla_t) + (\nabla_{t+h} - \nabla_t)^2 \\ &= d_{\nabla_t}(ha_t + o(h)) + (ha_t + o(h))^2 \\ &= h d_{\nabla_t} a_t + o(h) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial F_{\nabla_t}}{\partial t} = d_{\nabla_t} a_t = d_{\nabla_t} \left( \frac{\partial \nabla_t}{\partial t} \right).$$

Now what about  $F_{\nabla_t}^k$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (F_{\nabla_t}^k) &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{\nabla_t}^i \frac{\partial F_{\nabla_t}}{\partial t} F_{\nabla_t}^{k-1-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{\nabla_t}^i (d_{\nabla_t} a_t) F_{\nabla_t}^{k-1-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} d_{\nabla_t} (F_{\nabla_t}^i a_t F_{\nabla_t}^{k-1-i}) \\ &= d_{\nabla_t} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{\nabla_t}^i a_t F_{\nabla_t}^{k-1-i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

by Bianchi  
 $d_{\nabla_t} F_{\nabla_t} = 0$

Now consider  $\text{tr}(F_{\nabla_t}^k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\text{tr}(F_{\nabla_t}^k)) &= \text{tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F_{\nabla_t}^k \right) = \text{tr} \left( d_{\nabla_t} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{\nabla_t}^i a_t F_{\nabla_t}^{k-1-i} \right) \right) \\ &= d \text{tr} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{\nabla_t}^i a_t F_{\nabla_t}^{k-1-i} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\text{tr}(F_{\nabla_t}^k))$  is exact! and

$$\text{tr}(F_{\nabla_1}^k) - \text{tr}(F_{\nabla_0}^k) = d \int_0^1 \text{tr} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} F_{\nabla_t}^i a_t F_{\nabla_t}^{k-1-i} \right) dt$$

This is called the Chern-Simons form for the invariant polynomial  $\text{tr}(X^k)$  and the path  $\nabla_t$ . It is not always closed, but in some cases it is, for instance if  $\nabla_0$  and  $\nabla_1$  are flat, or if  $2k > \dim M$ . In the latter case, we only get something interesting if  $\dim M = 2k - 1$ .

Conclusion:  $[\text{tr}(F_{\nabla}^k)] \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{K})$  is a well-defined cohomology class depending only on the vector bundle  $E$ , not on the connection.

- Certain polynomial combinations of them are the Chern classes, Pontryagin classes, etc.
- We can even use power series, since  $F_{\nabla}^k = 0$  as soon as  $2k > \dim M$

## Traditional characteristic classes

$$\underline{K = \mathbb{C}}:$$

$$\text{Consider } \exp(X) = 1 + X + \frac{X^2}{2!} + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^k}{k!}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and define } \text{ch}(E, \nabla) &= \text{tr} \left( \exp \left( \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F_{\nabla} \right) \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left( I + \left( \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F_{\nabla} \right) + \frac{\left( \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F_{\nabla} \right)^2}{2} + \dots \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} \text{tr} (F_{\nabla}^k) \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(M, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

This is a finite sum of forms of different even degrees.

$\text{ch}(E, \nabla)$  is called the **Chern Character form** and the class  $\text{ch}(E) = [\text{ch}(E, \nabla)] \in H^{\text{even}}(M, \mathbb{C})$  is called the **Chern Character**.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_k(E) &= \left( \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \right)^k \frac{1}{k!} [\text{tr}(F_{\nabla}^k)] \in H^{2k}(M, \mathbb{C}) \\ &\text{is the degree } 2k \text{ piece of the Chern character.} \end{aligned}$$

Rmk  $\text{ch}(E)$  is actually a rational cohomology class, but in this approach that is not clear.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now consider } c(E, \nabla) &= \det \left( I + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F_{\nabla} \right) \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(M, \mathbb{C}) \\ c(E) &= [c(E, \nabla)] \in H^{\text{even}}(M, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

These are the **total Chern form** and **total Chern class**.  
The degree  $2k$  piece  $c_k(E)$  is the  **$k$ -th Chern class**.

In fact  $c(E) = 1 + c_1(E) + c_2(E) + \dots + c_r(E)$   
 where  $r$  is the rank of  $E$ .

To fit  $c(E, \nabla)$  into the framework developed so far, we can use  
 The identity  $\det(\exp(X)) = \exp(\text{tr}(X))$  for any  
 matrix  $X$ , which implies

$$\det(I+X) = \exp(\text{tr}(\log(I+X)))$$

Provided  $\log(I+X)$  exists.

We also have a power series

$$\log(I+X) = X - \frac{X^2}{2} + \frac{X^3}{3} - \frac{X^4}{4} + \dots = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{X^k}{k}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } c(E, \nabla) &= \exp(\text{tr}(\log(I + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} F_{\nabla}))) \\ &= \exp(\text{tr}(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k} (\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi})^k F_{\nabla}^k)) \end{aligned}$$

All the power series are actually finite sums since there  
 are no forms of degree  $> \dim M$ .

$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ :

$$p(E, \nabla) = \det\left(\left(I - \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} F_{\nabla}\right)^2\right)^{1/2}\right) \text{ Pontryagin Form}$$

$$p(E) = [p(E, \nabla)] \text{ Total Pontryagin Class.}$$

$$p(E) = 1 + p_1(E) + p_2(E) + \dots + p_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}(E)$$

$$p_i(E) \in H^{4i}(M; \mathbb{R}) \text{ Pontryagin Classes.}$$